Page

1 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

Command: Chico Area	Division: Valley Division	Number: 241-02-09
Evaluated by: Captain D. S. G	Gillingwater	Date: May 14, 2009
Assisted by:		Date:

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any "No" answers, discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the memorandum shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.

TYPE OF II	NSPECTION		Lead Inspe	ctor's Signatu	ire:	2	
☐ Divi	sion Level	el 🗵 Command Level					
☐ Offi	ce of Inspections	10	0	20	\int		
	llow-up Required:] Yes ⊠ No	Follow-Up Inspection	Commande	er's Signature		\mathcal{O}	Date: 5-14-09
For applicable policies, refer to HPM 11.1, Chapter 6.							
Note: I	f a "No" or "N/A" box is ch	ecked, the "Remarks" section	shall be ut	ilized for ex	cplanation	Z. OPTITALIAN	
	Prior to the performance	of services, is the d of the rates charged for	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
2.	Does the billing rate inclues expenses such as uniform	n or equipment damage?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
3. When a safety service is provided to another state agency, is the agency's five-digit billing code obtained?		⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
4. Is the billing code documented on the Reimbursable Services Billing Memorandum?		⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	.)(
5. Is \$50 charged for each CHP uniformed employee assigned to the detail if the cancellation notification is less than 24 hours prior to the scheduled service?		⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
6.	Is a minimum payment of when employee(s) could cancellation of their service		⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
7.	Is information regarding t necessary right-of-way cl	he procedures to obtain earances or permits, local pertinent information made	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
8.		pecific services directed to	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
9.	Are traffic control services approved by Division?		⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
10.	Are traffic control services	s estimated to be \$50,000 or fice of the Commissioner?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
11.	Are extraordinary protecti Assistant Commissioner,	ve services approved by the Field?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

Questions 12 through 17 pertain to collecting advance de	posits.			
12. Is a Reimbursable Services Agreement (RSA) log number requested from Division for every contract?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
13. Is a CHP 465 form completed in accordance with policy?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
14. Are advance payments collected from the contracting company prior to the start of the service?		□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
15. Is a CHP 251 prepared and mailed to the contracting company upon receipt of advance payments?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
16. Is a CHP 467 prepared and submitted to the Fiscal Management Section upon completion of the contractual service(s)?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
17. Is a copy of the CHP 465 attached to the weekly CHP 230, and if applicable, a CHP 169?		☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
Questions 18 through 31 pertain to the preparation of agr	eements.			
18. Is a CHP 466 maintained?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
19. Do RSA numbers begin with the letter "R" to denote reimbursable services, followed by two digit fiscal year, three digit location code, and a sequential number for each agreement?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
20. Is the CHP 466 closed out at the end of each fiscal year with a new log implemented on July 1 beginning with the sequential number 001?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
Are all sequential numbers accounted for when reconciling with the Billing Memorandum?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
22. Are sequential numbers not matching Billing Memorandums reconciled?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: All have matched
23. Is the original RSA signed and filed at Area?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
24. Does the command proceed with all RSA arrangements, and if needed, ensure the requestor has obtained the necessary right-of-way, clearances, and permits?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
25. Is the indemnification clause included in the agreement when requested?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
26. Is the inclusion of the indemnification clause approved by the Department of General Services, Office of Legal Services?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
27. If the service is over \$50,000 per occasion, is a CHP 78R prepared and submitted to Contract Services Unit?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: We do not have any one time events that are over \$50,000.
28. Is a copy of the resolution, order, motion, or ordinance of the local governing body obtained when one of the contracting parties is a county, city, district, or other local public body?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Never had any
29. Are dignitary protection services referred to the Office of Dignitary Protection?	☐ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: We have not had any

Page

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8

COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

30	Are CHP 312 forms, CHP 313 forms, and CHP 467 forms prepared when a statewide agreement is in effect?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
31	When state agencies are requesting a statewide agreement, are they referred to Enforcement Services Division, Field Support Section?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
Quest	ions 32 through 38 pertain to training agreement pro	rvices provided.			
32.	Is a CHP 230 prepared by the contracting party when fees are collected on the day of the training session?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: They are referred to the Academy
	Are the original CHP 467 and contract agreement submitted to Fiscal Management Section (FMS) upon completion of services (other than COZEEP, MAZEEP, extraordinary protective services, and special projects) within 5 days?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
34.	Are copies of CHP 467 forms forwarded to the next level of review?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Is the date when the Billing Memorandum was sent to FMS noted on the Reimbursable Services Control Log?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
36.	Is a copy of the command's Reimbursable Services Control Log forwarded or e-mailed to the Division Coordinator at the end of each month?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
37.	Is the Reimbursable Services Control Log verified with the copies of the Billing Memorandums to ensure all reimbursable time has been reported to FMS for billing purposes?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Are outstanding items being inspected and resolved?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: None at this time
Questi	ons 39 through 52 pertain to extraordinary protectiv I projects.	e services	and repo	rt of over	time hours for reimbursable
	Is a copy of the CHP 467 and CHP 465 submitted to FMS upon completion of extraordinary protective services?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: None at this time
40.	Is a reimbursable special project code obtained on every contractual service?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Is the overtime report(s) for reimbursable special project(s) used to reconcile CHP 415 forms for each special project?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Are the special project codes on the overtime report(s) verified to ensure the correct special project code has been used?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Are all corrections noted on the overtime report(s)?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Are overtime reports approved and dated by the commander after reconciling?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Is the original overtime report(s) forwarded to FMS?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
46.	Is a copy of the overtime report forwarded to Division by the 10 th of the month (except COZEEP/MAZEEP)?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks: When cutoff is not

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8

COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

47. Are all COZEEP/MAZEEP reports forwarded to Division by the 15 th of the month?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
48. Are all COZEEP/MAZEEP reports approved by Division and forwarded to FMS by the 30 th of the month?	e 🛮 🖾 Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
49. Is a copy of the CHP 71 attached to the overtime report(s) when there are reimbursable nonunifor personnel hours?		☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
50. Is an amendment of service agreement request prior to the fund being depleted, and if necessar the service discontinued?		□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Do not have any contracts that meet this category
51. Are all payments made directly to FMS?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
52. Does the command require delinquent compani pay outstanding invoices in full prior to providing future services?		☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Have not had any

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

Page 1	of	2
--------	----	---

Command: Chico Area	Division: Valley Division	Chapter: Chapter 8
Inspected by: Captain D. S.	Gillingwater	Date: May 14, 2009

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be typed. Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter number of the inspection in the Chapter Inspection number. Under "Forward to:" enter the next level of command where the document shall be routed to and its due date. This document shall be utilized to document innovative practices, suggestions for statewide improvement, identified deficiencies, corrective action plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be used if additional space is required.					
TYPE OF INSPECTION Total hours expended on the			☐ Corrective Action Plan Included		
☐ Division Level ☐ Command I	_evel	inspection:			
☐ Executive Office Level		Two hours		Attachments Included	
Follow-up Required:	Forwa	rd to:			
☐ Yes	Due D	ate:			
Chapter Inspection:					
Inspector's Comments Regar	rdina Ir	novative Practices			
The Chico Area is well versed on reimbursable services contracts. The Area is involved in more than 100 events being held at the state fairgrounds. Due to the high volume of contracts, the Area has well established procedures in place and all employees are thoroughly trained on all requirements.					
Command Suggestions for S	tatewic	le Improvement:			
None					
Inspector's Findings:					
The Area was in complia is required.	The Area was in compliance with every listed category. I found no discrepancies and no follow-up				
Commander's Response: ☐ Concur or ☐ Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)					
Same as above					
Inspector's Comments: Shall etc.)	address	non concurrence by o	commander (e.g., f	indings revised, findings unchanged,	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 2

Command: Chico Area	Division: Valley Division	Chapter: Chapter 8
Inspected by: Captain D. S.	Gillingwater	Date: May 14, 2009

Required Action	
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	

N/A

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer.	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE May 14, 2009
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)		DATE
*	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE May 14, 2009
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'\$ SIGNATURE	DATE
employee		1
☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur		